

From Climate Awareness to Climate Readiness: Reimagining Higher Education

Fahim Haider Jafari^{a*}

^aMajmaah University, Majmaah, Saudi Arabia

*Corresponding address: *Majmaah University, Majmaah, Saudi Arabia*
Email: fahimjafari@gmail.com

Received: 01 April 2026 / Available Online: 26 June 2026

Copyright: © 2026 by the author. This work is licensed under [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Citation: Jafari FH. From Climate Awareness to Climate Readiness: Reimagining Higher Education. J Sci Technol Educ Art Med. 2026;3(1):1-2

Higher education has spent decades teaching students about climate change. That is no longer enough. As heatwaves close campuses, floods damage infrastructure, droughts disrupt food and water systems, and climate anxiety shapes student life, universities must move from climate awareness to climate readiness. The central question is no longer whether graduates understand climate change, but whether institutions are preparing them, and themselves, to live, lead, and solve problems in a warming world.

Climate resilience should be treated as a core mission of higher education, not as an optional sustainability project. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change makes clear that climate risks are already affecting ecosystems, health, economies, and communities, and that adaptation must be accelerated alongside mitigation.¹ Universities are uniquely positioned to answer this challenge because they educate future professionals, produce knowledge, manage large physical campuses, and often serve as trusted civic anchors. Their influence extends far beyond lecture halls.

The first transformation must happen in the curriculum. Climate education cannot remain confined to environmental science courses.² Engineers need to design infrastructure for extreme weather. Health students need to understand heat stress, disease shifts, and disaster response. Business students need to evaluate climate risk and just transitions. Teachers need tools to help young people understand uncertainty without despair. Artists and communicators need to translate data

into public meaning. Research on sustainability education emphasizes systems thinking, anticipatory thinking, values-based reasoning, collaboration, and action-oriented problem solving as essential graduate capacities.^{3,4} In short, every discipline has a climate dimension.

The second transformation must happen on campus. A university that teaches resilience but ignores its own vulnerability sends the wrong lesson. Campuses should become living laboratories for climate adaptation: mapping flood risk, redesigning buildings for heat, expanding green spaces, reducing emissions, protecting biodiversity, improving water systems, and ensuring continuity of learning during disasters. This approach links knowledge with practice and gives students authentic problems to solve. It also shifts sustainability from branding to institutional accountability.

The third transformation must happen through community partnership. Climate resilience is place-based. A coastal university, an urban university, and a rural university face different risks and responsibilities. Institutions should work with local governments, schools, Indigenous communities, health systems, farmers, and civil society to co-create solutions. This matters because climate impacts are unequal. Students and communities with fewer resources often experience disruption first and recover last. A resilient university must therefore be a just university.

Reimagining higher education for climate resilience requires leadership. It means embedding climate risk into accreditation, budgets,

infrastructure planning, research funding, procurement, and student support. It means measuring success not only by publications and rankings, but by preparedness, public value, and social transformation. Climate awareness helped universities name the crisis. Climate readiness will determine whether they are worthy of the future they claim to serve.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Funding

None

References

1. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Climate change 2023: synthesis report: contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Lee H, Romero J, editors. Geneva: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change; 2023. 184 p. doi:10.59327/IPCC/AR6-9789291691647
2. UNESCO. Education for sustainable development: a roadmap. Paris: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization; 2020. 66 p. doi:10.54675/YFRE1448
3. Wiek A, Withycombe L, Redman CL. Key competencies in sustainability: a reference framework for academic program development. Sustain Sci. 2011;6(2):203-18. doi:10.1007/s11625-011-0132-6
4. Brundiers K, Barth M, Cebrián G, Cohen M, Diaz L, Doucette-Remington S, et al. Key competencies in sustainability in higher education—toward an agreed-upon reference framework. Sustain Sci. 2021;16(1):13-29. doi:10.1007/s11625-020-00838-2